

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/089,156	1	03/27/2002	Hiroaki Munehira	220800U2XPCT 9787		
22850	7590	06/06/2006		EXAMINER		
•	•	MCCLELLAND	WANG, QUAN ZHEN			
1940 DUKE ALEXANDI				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				2613	.	
				DATE MAILED: 06/06/2006		

BITTE WITHELD: 00/00/2000

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/089,156	MUNEHIRA ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Quan-Zhen Wang	2613	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ado	L Iress
THE REPLY FILED 15 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nota a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ace with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b) ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origer than three months after the mailing day.	of the fee. The appropr pinally set in the final Offi ate of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further control (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloc) They are not deemed to place the application in between the control (c) 	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.			(770) 00 ()
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 	· ——	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wovided below or appended.	ill be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered by the same reasons given in 3-a.	out does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper (No(s)	

13. Other: ____.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claims 3, 4, and 9 further include new limitation of "... band pass filtering means including a first and second plurality of band pass filters connected to a corresponding first and second optical amplifier". The newly added limitation has changed the scope of claims. Therefore, further search and consideration are needed..

JASON CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600